

**UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL
on
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION**

**IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE
LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2804

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)


CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO -107)

On December 5, 2017, the Panel transferred 62 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. *See* 290 F.Supp.3d 1375 (J.P.M.L. 2017). Since that time, 1,681 additional action(s) have been transferred to the Northern District of Ohio. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Northern District of Ohio and assigned to Judge Polster.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Northern District of Ohio for the reasons stated in the order of December 5, 2017, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.



FOR THE PANEL:

John W. Nichols
Clerk of the Panel

I hereby certify that this instrument is a true and correct copy of the original on file in my office. Attest: Sandy Opacich, Clerk
U.S. District Court, Northern District of Ohio
By: /s/Robert Pitts
Deputy Clerk



**IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE
LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2804

SCHEDULE CTO-107 – TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

<u>DIST</u>	<u>DIV.</u>	<u>C.A.NO.</u>	<u>CASE CAPTION</u>
ALABAMA NORTHERN			
ALN	2	19-01256	Hoover City of v. Purdue Pharma L P et al
ALN	4	19-01270	City of Argo, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
CALIFORNIA CENTRAL			
CAC	2	19-06799	County of Ventura v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
CALIFORNIA NORTHERN			
CAN	5	19-04529	City Of San Jose v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al
IDAHO			
ID	4	19-00303	City of Twin Falls v. Purdue Pharma, L.P., et al.
MINNESOTA			
MN	0	19-02190	City of Proctor, Minnesota v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
MISSISSIPPI SOUTHERN			
MSS	1	19-00427	City of Diamondhead, Mississippi v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al
NORTH CAROLINA EASTERN			
NCE	2	19-00025	Bertie County v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al
OKLAHOMA WESTERN			
OKW	5	19-00721	Board of County Commissioners of Jefferson County v. Purdue Pharma LP et al Opposed 8/21/19
WEST VIRGINIA SOUTHERN			

~~WVS~~

~~2~~

~~19-00566~~

~~Tilley et al v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al~~ Opposed 8/20/19